

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/636,142	08/06/2003	Yoshihiro Ue	01232D/LH	01232D/LH 2383	
1933	7590 11/03/2004		EXAM	INER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			FINEMAN, LEE A		
767 THIRD A 25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10017-2023	2872			

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a survey	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/636,142	UE, YOSHIHIRO				
ravios. y riodon	Examiner	Art Unit	ı			
	-Lee Fineman	2872	and			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application () a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
	•	(as a NOTE below).				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);				
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		• •				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 3-6.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:		M.M. ROBINSON ARY EXAMINER				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/636;142

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues are at the least the proposed claim limitations directed to the objective lens arranged underneath the observation sample, the focus adjusting mechanism surrounding the objective lens and the displacement sensor including a target and a detector.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed system from the cited prior art.